

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/627,909	07/25/2003	Robert S. Fielmann	40164-10009	3456	
21788	7590 08/18/2006		EXAM	INER	
RYNDAK &	SURI LLP		LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC		
	SON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL 60606			2612		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/627,909	FIELMANN, ROBER	TS
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner Art Unit		
	-	Julie Lieu	2612	
The MAILING DATE of	this communication appe	- · · - · - ·	with the correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply			·	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' THE MAILING DATE OF THI: Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extending Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the maximum statutory period will be period for reply will, by statute, of the mailing of the mai	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comma ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status				
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 7/17/0	96 .		
2a) This action is FINAL.	· · · ————	action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is	•		itters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance w			·	
isposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are per	iding in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s	- , ,	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are a				
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are reje	ected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.			
8) Claim(s) are sub	ect to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
pplication Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on			by the Examiner.	
*		•	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	· -		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration	s objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made	e of a claim for foreign r	oriority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	- '	,	3	
<u> </u>	- f the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of	•		Application No	
			n received in this National St	age
application from t	he International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list o	f the certified copies no	ot received.	
ttachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-8) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra			Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s			Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _		

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's response and affidavit filed July 17, 2006.

No claims have been amended.

2. The Affidavit filed on July 17, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the

Beggs reference. The finality is withdrawn for this reason.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated over Nyerk (US

Patent 4,987,402).

Claim 1:

Nyerk discloses that there is a wide variety of available devices for detecting the presence

of a person near a door and for providing a warning of the presence at a door when the person is

in close proximity to the door, comprising:

a. a detector device 59 having a detection range for being positioned in at least close

proximity to a door in a position to detect the person in close proximity to the door and

within the detection range of the detector device; and

Art Unit: 2612

b. an audio alarm device, an alarm, for providing an audible warning to the person in proximity to the door, the audible alarm device associated with the detector device so that the audible alarm device provides the audible warning in response to the detection of the person by the detector device.

See fig. 4 and abstract.

The reference fails to clearly disclose the specific detection range of the detector device is less than 5ft and whether the door is substantially transparent. However, a detection range of less than 5ft is conventional in the art since the system is desired to detect a person within close proximity to the door so that false warning would not be triggered in the case that passer-bys only passes by the vehicle. Therefore, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized to use a detection range of 5ft as desired.

Claim 2:

Nyerk suggests the use of different detection devices including motion detectors.

Claim 3:

The detector in disclosed in Nyerk comprises a body heat sensitive detector, i.e. infrared detectors (INVISIBEAM).

Claim 4:

Nyerk discloses a voice module to record voice messages to warn a person approaching the door of the presence of the door. See abstract and col. 6, last paragraph.

Claim 5:

Nyerk discloses a controller for the motion detector for adjusting the detection range of the motion detector device. See col. 4, last paragraph and col. 10, second paragraph.

Page 4

Claims 6 and 8-10:

Nyerk fails to discuss in details the configuration of the detector device and the audible alarm device in conventional system as to whether or not they are not contained within the same housing or pouch. Nonetheless, the integration of parts in the same housing would not be considered an inventive step because the function of the device would not thereby be modified. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use an attractive shape for the device because aesthetic is always preferred.

Claim 11:

Nyerk disclose a self-contained power supply 11.

Claim 12:

Nyerk fails to disclose specifically that the volume of the audible alarm system can be adjusted. However, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized providing this feature in the system disclosed in Nyerk because of convenience.

5. Claims 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyerk (US Patent 4,987,402) in view of Thacker (US Patent No. 6,359,564).

Claim 13:

Nyerk does not discuss the details of the alarm in the disclosed conventional system, thus, fails to suggest how the alarm is generated. However, the similar alarm device taught in Thacker ceases after a predetermined period of time in response to detected motion by the motion detector 106 and resets itself when motion is no longer detected by the motion detector device. Therefore, it would have been obvious one skilled in the art to generate the alarm the same way

Application/Control Number: 10/627,909

Art Unit: 2612

as in Thacker because it would be desirable to cease alarming when there is no motion is detected near the door after a period of time to save energy.

Claim 14-18:

The rejection of these claims recites the discussion in the rejection of claims 1-12, except they are method claims.

Claim 19:

In Thacker, when no motion is detected and a person is absent in the workspace, the alarm is shut off and automatically resets itself to provide warning when motion is again detected. Col. 4, lines 42-53.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 571-272-2978. The examiner can normally be reached on MaxiFlex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,909

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Julie Lieu

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612 Page 6

Aug. 16, 06